On Multivariate Extensions of Value-at-Risk and Conditional-Tail-Expectation

Areski Cousin, ISFA, Université Lyon 1

Joint work with Elena Di Bernardino, CNAM

IWAP

Antalya, June 18, 2014

A. Cousin, E. Di Bernardino, *On Multivariate Extensions of Value-at-Risk*,

A. Cousin, E. Di Bernardino, *On Multivariate Extensions of Conditional-Tail-Expectation*,

Value-at-Risk paradigm



Given an univariate continuous and strictly monotonic loss distribution function F_X,

$$\mathrm{VaR}_\alpha(X) = Q_X(\alpha) = F_X^{-1}(\alpha), \quad \forall\, \alpha \in (0,1).$$

Shortcoming of VaR measure:

- VaR does not give any information on the severity of loss when larger than the VaR
- VaR is not a coherent risk measure (see Artzner, 1999)

To overcome problems of VaR → Conditional-Tail-Expectation (CTE):

$$CTE_\alpha(X) = \mathbb{E}[\, X \,|\, X \geq \mathrm{VaR}_\alpha(X)\,] = \mathbb{E}[\, X \,|\, X \geq Q_X(\alpha)\,],$$

Measures for risk with heterogeneous characteristics

- Risks that cannot be aggregated together
- Two kinds of literature:

  1) Extension of existing axioms to a multivariate setting

  Jouini, Meddeb, Touzi (2004), Burgert and Rüschendorf (2006), Rüschendorf (2006), Cascos and Molchanov (2007), Hamel and Heyde (2010), Ekeland, Galichon, Henry (2012)

  2) Quantile-based risk measures

  Massé and Theodorescu (1994), Koltchindkii (1997), Embrechts and Puccetti (2006), Nappo and Spizzichino (2009), Prékopa (2010), Lee and Prékopa (2012)

Construction of Multivariate Risk Measures

$$\rho: \quad \mathbf{X} := (X_1, \ldots, X_d) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \rho^1[\mathbf{X}] \\ \vdots \\ \rho^d[\mathbf{X}] \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d,$$

Some desirable properties:

- Combine in a concise way information on both marginals and risks dependencies
- Compatible with univariate version when $d = 1$
- Easily computable for large class of multivariate distribution functions
- Consistent with usual invariance properties (Artzner et al.'s axioms)
- Consistent behavior with respect to risk perturbations

Multivariate *Value-at-Risk* based on quantile curves (Embrechts & Puccetti, 2006; Nappo & Spizzichino, 2009):

$$\partial \underline{L}(\alpha) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ : F(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha\} \qquad \partial \overline{L}(\alpha) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ : \overline{F}(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - \alpha\}$$



Figure: **left:** quantile curves of Frank copula with parameter 4; **right:** quantile curves of the associated survival distribution function

Lower-Orthant and Upper-Orthant **Value-at-Risk**

Definition

Consider a random vector $\mathbf{X}$ with absolutely continuous cdf F and survival function $\overline{F}$. For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we define:

$$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) := \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} | F(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\, X_1 \,|\, F(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha \,] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[\, X_d \,|\, F(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha \,] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) := \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} | \overline{F}(\mathbf{X}) = 1 - \alpha] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\, X_1 \,|\, \overline{F}(\mathbf{X}) = 1 - \alpha \,] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[\, X_d \,|\, \overline{F}(\mathbf{X}) = 1 - \alpha \,] \end{pmatrix}$$

When $d = 1$: $\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(X) = \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(X) = \mathrm{VaR}_\alpha(X)$

Invariance Properties

Proposition

Consider a risk portfolio $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ *and a constant vector* $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_d)$ *with positive components*

- *Positive Homogeneity:*

$$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(c_1X_1, \dots, c_dX_d) = \left(c_1\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^1_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X}), \dots, c_d\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^d_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X})\right)^T$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(c_1X_1, \dots, c_dX_d) = \left(c_1\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^1_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X}), \dots, c_d\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^d_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X})\right)^T$$

- *Translation Invariance:*

$$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\boldsymbol{c} + \boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{c} + \underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X}), \quad \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\boldsymbol{c} + \boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{c} + \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X})$$

Comonotonic additivity

Definition (π-comonotonicity: Puccetti and Scarsini (2010))

A couple $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ of d-dimensional random vectors is said to be π-comonotonic if there exists a d-dimensional random vector $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_d)$ and non-decreasing functions $f_1, \ldots, f_d$, $g_1, \ldots, g_d$ such that

$$(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \stackrel{d}{=} ((f_1(Z_1), \ldots, f_d(Z_d)), (g_1(Z_1), \ldots, g_d(Z_d)))$$

Proposition

Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ be a π-comonotonic couple of random vectors, then

$$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}) = \underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) + \underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y}),$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}) = \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) + \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y})$$

Archimedean copula dependence structure

Proposition

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a d-dimensional portfolio of risks with marginal distributions $F_1, \ldots, F_d$.

- *If $\mathbf{X}$ admits an Archimedian copula with generator ϕ, then*

$$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}\left[F_i^{-1}\left(\phi^{-1}(S\phi(\alpha))\right)\right], \quad i = 1, \ldots, d$$

- *If $\mathbf{X}$ admits an Archimedian survival copula with generator ϕ, then*

$$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}\left[F_i^{-1}\left(1 - \phi^{-1}(S\phi(1-\alpha))\right)\right], \quad i = 1, \ldots, d$$

where S is a random variable with $\mathrm{Beta}(1, d-1)$ distribution.

Proof : follows from McNeil and Nešlehová (2009) representation of Archimedean copulas

Archimedean copula dependence structure

Proposition (McNeil and Nešlehová (2009))

Let $\boldsymbol{U} = (U_1, \ldots, U_d)$ be distributed according to a d-dimensional Archimedian copula C with generator ϕ, then

$$(\phi(U_1), \ldots, \phi(U_d)) \stackrel{d}{=} R\boldsymbol{S},$$

where

- $\boldsymbol{S} = (S_1, \ldots, S_d)$ *is uniformly distributed on the unit simplex* $\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \geq 0 \mid \sum_{k=1}^{d} x_k = 1\right\}$
- R *is an independent non-negative random variable (radial part of* $(\phi(U_1), \ldots, \phi(U_d))$*)*

One can deduce that

1) $R \stackrel{d}{=} \phi(C(\mathbf{U}))$

2) $[\mathbf{U} \mid C(\mathbf{U}) = \alpha] \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\phi^{-1}\left(S_1\phi\left(\alpha\right)\right), \ldots, \phi^{-1}\left(S_d\phi\left(\alpha\right)\right)\right)$

Explicit expressions for Archimedean copulas

Proposition

- *If* $\mathbf{X}$ *is distributed as an Archimedian copula with generator* ϕ, *then*

$$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = 1 - \int_\alpha^1 \left(1 - \frac{\phi(u)}{\phi(\alpha)}\right)^{d-1} du$$

- *If* $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ *has uniform marginal and admits an Archimedian survival copula with generator* ϕ, *i.e.* $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \overset{d}{=} 1 - \mathbf{X}$, *then*

$$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) = \int_{1-\alpha}^1 \left(1 - \frac{\phi(u)}{\phi(1-\alpha)}\right)^{d-1} du$$

Explicit expressions for bivariate Clayton copulas

Generator ϕ of a Clayton copula with dependence parameter θ:

$$\phi(u) = \frac{1}{\theta}\left(u^{-\theta} - 1\right), \quad u \in (0,1)$$

Copula	θ	$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_{\alpha,\theta}(X,Y)$	$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_{\alpha,\theta}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y})$
Clayton C_θ	$(-1,\infty)$	$\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}\frac{\alpha^\theta-\alpha}{\alpha^\theta-1}$	$1-\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}\frac{(1-\alpha)^\theta-(1-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)^\theta-1}$
Counter-monotonic	-1	$\frac{1+\alpha}{2}$	$\frac{\alpha}{2}$
Independent	0	$\frac{\alpha-1}{\ln\alpha}$	$1+\frac{\alpha}{\ln(1-\alpha)}$
Comonotonic	∞	α	α

Table: Components $i = 1,2$ of $\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i$ and $\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i$ where (X,Y) follows a Clayton copula and $(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y}) := (1-X, 1-Y)$, i.e., $(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y})$ has a survival Clayton copula with uniform margins

Behavior of VaR components: bivariate Clayton case



Figure: Behavior of $\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^1_{\alpha,\theta}(X,Y)$ (**left**) and $\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^1_{\alpha,\theta}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y})$ (**right**) with respect to risk level α, for different values of the dependence parameter θ

Comparison with univariate VaR, behavior with respect to α

	$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$	$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$
Comparison with univariate VaR	Univariate VaR is a lower bound: • $\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \geq \mathrm{VaR}_\alpha(X_i),\ \forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$. Comonotonic case: • $\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \mathrm{VaR}_\alpha(X_i),\ \forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$.	Univariate VaR is an upper bound: • $\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \leq \mathrm{VaR}_\alpha(X_i),\ \forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$. Comonotonic case: • $\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \mathrm{VaR}_\alpha(X_i),\ \forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$.
Risk level	$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$ is a non-decreasing function of α. ($\mathbf{X}$ with Archimedean copulas)	$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{X}})$ is a non-decreasing function of α. ($\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ with Archimedean survival copulas)

Effect of risk perturbations

	$\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$	$\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$
Change in marginals	For a fixed copula C, if $X_i \overset{d}{=} Y_i$: • $\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y}),\ \forall\,\alpha \in (0,1)$. For a fixed copula C, if $X_i \preceq_{st} Y_i$: • $\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \le \underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y}),\ \forall\,\alpha \in (0,1)$.	For a fixed copula C, if $X_i \overset{d}{=} Y_i$: • $\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y}),\ \forall\,\alpha \in (0,1)$. For a fixed copula C, if $X_i \preceq_{st} Y_i$: • $\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \le \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y}),\ \forall\,\alpha \in (0,1)$.
Change in dependence structure	For fixed marginals, if $\theta \le \theta^*$: • $\underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \le \underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y}),\ \forall\,\alpha \in (0,1)$. $\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}$ with Archimedean copula	For fixed marginals, if $\theta \le \theta^*$: • $\overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \le \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y}),\ \forall\,\alpha \in (0,1)$. $\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}$ with Archimedean survival copula

Multivariate *CTE*-s based on upper-level set of multivariate cdf and lower-level set of survival functions:

$$\underline{L}(\alpha) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : F(\mathbf{x}) \geq \alpha\} \qquad \overline{L}(\alpha) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : \overline{F}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1 - \alpha\}$$



Figure: **left:** quantile curves of Frank copula with parameter 4; **right:** quantile curves of the associated survival distribution function

Lower-Orthant and Upper-Orthant **Conditional-Tail-Expectation**

Definition

Consider a random vector $\mathbf{X}$ with absolutely continuous cdf F and survival function $\overline{F}$. For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, we define:

$$\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) := \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} | F(\mathbf{X}) \geq \alpha] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\, X_1 \,|\, F(\mathbf{X}) \geq \alpha \,] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[\, X_d \,|\, F(\mathbf{X}) \geq \alpha \,] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) := \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} | \overline{F}(\mathbf{X}) \leq 1-\alpha] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[\, X_1 \,|\, \overline{F}(\mathbf{X}) \leq 1-\alpha \,] \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[\, X_d \,|\, \overline{F}(\mathbf{X}) \leq 1-\alpha \,] \end{pmatrix}$$

When $d = 1$: $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(X) = \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(X) = \mathrm{CTE}_\alpha(X)$

Invariance Properties

- Positive Homogeneity: $\forall \ \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$,

$$\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{c}\,\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}),\ \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{c}\,\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$$

- Translation Invariance: $\forall \ \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$,

$$\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{c}+\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}),\ \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{c}+\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$$

- π-comonotonic additivity: if $(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y})$ is π-comonotonic, then

$$\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{Y}) = \underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) + \underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y}),$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{Y}) = \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) + \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{Y})$$

- For $\alpha = 0$, $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_0(\mathbf{X}) = \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_0(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}]$

Link with multivariate VaR-s

Proposition

Under the regularity assumption, the following integral representations hold

$$\underline{CTE}^i_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X}) = \frac{1}{1-K(\alpha)} \int_\alpha^1 \underline{VaR}^i_\gamma(\boldsymbol{X}) K'(\gamma) d\gamma,$$

$$\overline{CTE}^i_\alpha(\boldsymbol{X}) = \frac{1}{\widehat{K}(1-\alpha)} \int_\alpha^1 \overline{VaR}^i_\gamma(\boldsymbol{X}) \widehat{K}'(1-\gamma) d\gamma,$$

where

- K *is the Kendall distribution of* $\boldsymbol{X}$*:* $K(x) = \mathbb{P}\left(F(\boldsymbol{X}) \leq x\right)$, *for all* x *in* $(0,1)$
- $\widehat{K}$ *is the upper-orthant Kendall distribution of* $\boldsymbol{X}$*:* $\widehat{K}(x) = \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{F}(\boldsymbol{X}) \leq x\right)$, *for all* x *in* $(0,1)$.

Explicit expressions for bivariate Clayton copulas

Copula	θ	$\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^{i}_{\alpha,\theta}(X,Y)$
Clayton C_θ	$(-1,\infty)$	$\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}\,\frac{\theta-1-\alpha^{2}(1+\theta)+2\,\alpha^{1+\theta}}{\theta-\alpha(1+\theta)+\alpha^{1+\theta}}$
Counter-monotonic	-1	$\frac{1}{4}\,\frac{1-\alpha^{2}+2\ln\alpha}{1-\alpha+\ln\alpha}$
Independent	0	$\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{1-\alpha+\alpha\ln\alpha}$
Comonotonic	∞	$\frac{1+\alpha}{2}$

Table: Components $i=1,2$ of $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^{i}$ for different copula dependence structures.

Interestingly, one can readily show that $\frac{\partial \underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^{i}_{\alpha,\theta}}{\partial\alpha} \geq 0$ and $\frac{\partial \underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^{i}_{\alpha,\theta}}{\partial\theta} \leq 0$, for $\theta \geq -1$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

Behavior of CTE components: Clayton copula case



Figure: Behavior of $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^{i}_{\alpha,\theta}(X,Y)$ (left) and $\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^{i}_{\alpha,\theta}(1-X,1-Y)$ (right) with respect to risk level α for different values of dependence parameter θ.

Comonotonic case, behavior with respect to α, comparison with multivariate VaR

	$\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$	$\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$
	Comonotonic case: • $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \mathrm{CTE}_\alpha(X_i),\ \forall\, \alpha \in (0,1).$	Comonotonic case: • $\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \mathrm{CTE}_\alpha(X_i),\ \forall\, \alpha \in (0,1).$
Risk level	• $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$ is a non-decreasing function of α. ($\mathbf{X}$ with Archimedean copulas) • $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \geq \underline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$, for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$.	• $\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$ is a non-decreasing function of α. ($\mathbf{X}$ with Archimedean survival copulas) • $\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \geq \overline{\mathrm{VaR}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$, for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

Effect of risk perturbations

	$\underline{CTE}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$	$\overline{CTE}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})$
Change in marginals	For a fixed copula C, if $X_i \overset{d}{=} Y_i$: • $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{Y})$, $\forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$. For a fixed copula C, if $X_i \preceq_{st} Y_i$: • $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \leq \underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{Y})$, $\forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$.	For a fixed copula C, if $X_i \overset{d}{=} Y_i$: • $\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) = \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{Y})$, $\forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$. For a fixed copula C, if $X_i \preceq_{st} Y_i$: • $\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \leq \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{Y})$, $\forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$.
Change in dependence structure	For fixed marginals, if $\theta \leq \theta^*$: • $\underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \leq \underline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{Y})$, $\forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$. $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ **with Archimedean copula**	For fixed marginals, if $\theta \leq \theta^*$: • $\overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{X}) \leq \overline{\mathrm{CTE}}^i_\alpha(\mathbf{Y})$, $\forall\, \alpha \in (0,1)$. $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ **with Archimedean survival copula**

Perspectives

- Subadditivity of the proposed multivariate CTE-s ?
- Allocation problems for portfolio of portfolios
- Other perspectives:
  Extension to discrete distribution functions
  Comparison with other multivariate risk measures

Thank you for your attention

References

- Acharya, Pedersen, Philippon, Richardson, 2010, *Measuring systemic risk*
- Adrian and Brunnermeier, 2011, *CoVaR*
- Bargès, Cossette, Marceau, 2009, *TVaR-based capital allocation with copulas*
- Brownlees and Engle, 2012, *Volatility, correlation and tails for systemic risk measurement*
- Burgert and Rüschendorf, 2006, *Consitent risk measures for portfolio vectors*
- Cai, Einmahl, Haan, Zhou, 2013, *Estimation of the Marginal Expected Shotfall: the mean when a related variable is extreme*
- Cai and Li, 2005, *Conditional tail expectations for multivariate phase-type distributions*
- Cascos and Molchanov, 2007, *Multivariate risks and depth-trimmed regions*

References

- Ekeland, Galichon, Henry, 2012, *Comonotonic measures of multivariate risks*
- Embrechts and Puccetti, 2006, *Bounds for functions of multivariate risks*
- Hamel and Heyde, 2010, *Duality for set-valued measures of risk*
- Jouini, Meddeb, Touzi, 2004, *Vector-valued coherent risk measures*
- Koltchinskii, 1997, *M-estimation, convexity and quantiles*
- Landsman and Valdez, 2003, *Tail conditional expectations for elliptical distributions*
- Lee and Prékopa, 2012, *Properties and calculation of multivariate risk measures: MVaR and MCVaR*
- Mainik and Schaanning, 2012, *On dependence consistency of CoVaR and some other systemic risk measures*

References

- Massé and Theodorescu, 1994, *Halfplane trimming for bivariate distributions*
- McNeil and Nešlehová, 2009, *Multivariate Archimedean copulas, D-monotone functions and l_1-norm symmetric distributions*
- Nappo and Spizzichino, 2009, *Kendall distributions and levels sets in bivariate exchangeable survival models*
- Prékopa, 2010, *Multivariate value at risk and related topics*
- Puccetti and Scarsini, 2010, *Multivariate comonotonicity*
- Rüschendorf, 2006, *Law invariant convex risk measures for portfolio vectors*
- Scaillet, 2004, *Nonparametric estimation and sensitivity analysis of expected shortfall*
- Tasche, 2008, *Capital allocation to business units and sub-portfolios: the Euler Principle*